

JUL 07 2008

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RICARDO FRANCO COLUNGA;
MARIA GUADALUPE AGUILAR
VALENZUELA,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-71853

Agency Nos. A79-524-566
A79-524-567

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 18, 2008**

Before: LEAVY, HAWKINS, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Ricardo Franco Colunga and Maria Guadalupe Aguilar Valenzuela, natives
and citizens of Mexico, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals'

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

(“BIA”) order denying their motion to reconsider the BIA’s order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge’s (“IJ”) denial of their applications for cancellation of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reconsider, *Cano-Merida v. INS*, 311 F.3d 960, 964 (9th Cir. 2002). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA was within its discretion in denying petitioners’ motion to reconsider because the motion failed to identify any error of fact or law in the BIA’s prior decision affirming the IJ’s order denying cancellation of removal. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(1); *Socop-Gonzalez v. INS*, 272 F.3d 1176, 1180 n.2 (9th Cir. 2001) (en banc).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.